DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 874

[Docket No. FDA-2022-N-3171]

Medical Devices; Ear, Nose, and Throat Devices; Classification of the Powered Insertion System for a Cochlear Implant Electrode Array

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Final amendment; final order.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA, Agency, or we) is classifying the powered insertion system for a cochlear implant electrode array into class II (special controls). The special controls that apply to the device type are identified in this order and will be part of the codified language for the powered insertion system for a cochlear implant electrode array's classification. We are taking this action because we have determined that classifying the device into class II (special controls) will provide a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness of the device. We believe this action will also enhance patients' access to beneficial innovative devices.

DATES: This order is effective [INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE *FEDERAL REGISTER*]. The classification was applicable on October 1, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vasant Dasika, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 66, Rm. 1206, Silver Spring, MD, 20993-0002, 301-796-5365, Vasant.Dasika@fda.hhs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Upon request, FDA has classified the powered insertion system for a cochlear implant electrode array as class II (special controls), which we have determined will provide a reasonable

assurance of safety and effectiveness. In addition, we believe this action will enhance patients' access to beneficial innovation, in part by placing the device into a lower device class than the automatic class III assignment.

The automatic assignment of class III occurs by operation of law and without any action by FDA, regardless of the level of risk posed by the new device. Any device that was not in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976, is automatically classified as, and remains within, class III and requires premarket approval unless and until FDA takes an action to classify or reclassify the device (see 21 U.S.C. 360c(f)(1)). We refer to these devices as "postamendments devices" because they were not in commercial distribution prior to the date of enactment of the Medical Device Amendments of 1976, which amended the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act).

FDA may take a variety of actions in appropriate circumstances to classify or reclassify a device into class I or II. We may issue an order finding a new device to be substantially equivalent under section 513(i) of the FD&C Act (see 21 U.S.C. 360c(i)) to a predicate device that does not require premarket approval. We determine whether a new device is substantially equivalent to a predicate device by means of the procedures for premarket notification under section 510(k) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 360(k)) and part 807 (21 CFR part 807).

FDA may also classify a device through "De Novo" classification, a common name for the process authorized under section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act. Section 207 of the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997 (Pub. L. 105-115) established the first procedure for De Novo classification. Section 607 of the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act (Pub. L. 112-144) modified the De Novo application process by adding a second procedure. A device sponsor may utilize either procedure for De Novo classification.

Under the first procedure, the person submits a 510(k) for a device that has not previously been classified. After receiving an order from FDA classifying the device into class III under

section 513(f)(1) of the FD&C Act, the person then requests a classification under section 513(f)(2).

Under the second procedure, rather than first submitting a 510(k) and then a request for classification, if the person determines that there is no legally marketed device upon which to base a determination of substantial equivalence, that person requests a classification under section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act.

Under either procedure for De Novo classification, FDA is required to classify the device by written order within 120 days. The classification will be according to the criteria under section 513(a)(1) of the FD&C Act. Although the device was automatically placed within class III, the De Novo classification is considered to be the initial classification of the device.

When FDA classifies a device into class I or II via the De Novo process, the device can serve as a predicate for future devices of that type, including for 510(k)s (see section 513(f)(2)(B)(i) of the FD&C Act). As a result, other device sponsors do not have to submit a De Novo request or premarket approval application to market a substantially equivalent device (see section 513(i) of the FD&C Act, defining "substantial equivalence"). Instead, sponsors can use the less-burdensome 510(k) process, when necessary, to market their device.

II. De Novo Classification

On December 18, 2019, FDA received iotaMotion, Inc.'s request for De Novo classification of the iotaSOFT Insertion System--Drive Unit, Controller and Accessories. FDA reviewed the request in order to classify the device under the criteria for classification set forth in section 513(a)(1) of the FD&C Act.

We classify devices into class II if general controls by themselves are insufficient to provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness, but there is sufficient information to establish special controls that, in combination with the general controls, provide reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of the device for its intended use (see 21 U.S.C. 360c(a)(1)(B)). After review of the information submitted in the request, we determined that the

device can be classified into class II with the establishment of special controls. FDA has determined that these special controls, in addition to the general controls, will provide reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of the device.

Therefore, on October 1, 2021, FDA issued an order to the requester classifying the device into class II. In this final order, FDA is codifying the classification of the device by adding 21 CFR 874.4450.¹ We have named the generic type of device powered insertion system for a cochlear implant electrode array, and it is identified as a prescription device used to assist in placing an electrode array into the cochlea.

FDA has identified the following risks to health associated specifically with this type of device and the measures required to mitigate these risks in table 1.

Table 1.--Powered Insertion System for a Cochlear Implant Electrode Risks and Mitigation Measures

Identified Risks	Mitigation Measures
Risks to health relating to device	Clinical performance testing,
interface with patient anatomy,	Usability testing,
including:	Non-clinical performance testing, and
 Damage to skull tissue 	Labeling
 Damage to dura mater 	
Bone damage	
Cerebrospinal fluid leak	
Damage to cochlea; hearing	
loss, tinnitus, vertigo	
Cochlear implant insertion failure	Clinical performance testing,
leading to:	Non-clinical performance testing,
 Trauma to cochlear structures 	Usability testing,
resulting in residual hearing	Cochlear implant compatibility validation,
loss or nerve degeneration	Software verification, validation, and hazard analysis,
 Suboptimal array placement 	and
(including array rotation)	Labeling
leading to poor hearing	
performance	
 Failure to disengage from 	
cochlear implant at end of	
procedure, leading to manual	
correction and insertion	

¹ FDA notes that the "ACTION" caption for this final order is styled as "Final amendment; final order," rather than "Final order." Beginning in December 2019, this editorial change was made to indicate that the document "amends" the Code of Federal Regulations. The change was made in accordance with the Office of Federal Register's (OFR) interpretations of the Federal Register Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 15), its implementing regulations (1 CFR 5.9 and parts 21 and 22), and the Document Drafting Handbook.

-

D . 11 . 1 . 1 .	NT 1' ' 1 C
Damage to cochlear implant during	Non-clinical performance testing,
insertion leading to poor cochlear	Usability testing,
implant performance and/or	Cochlear implant compatibility validation, Shelf life
compromised implant reliability	testing,
	Software verification, validation, and hazard analysis,
	and
	Labeling
Adverse tissue reaction, including	Biocompatibility evaluation
irritation/inflammation of surgical site	
Electromagnetic interference, thermal	Electrical safety testing,
injury, or electric shock	Electromagnetic compatibility testing, and
	Labeling
Infection	Sterilization validation,
	Shelf life testing, and
	Labeling
Excessive operation time leading to	Clinical performance testing,
increased exposure to anesthesia	Usability testing, and
	Labeling

FDA has determined that special controls, in combination with the general controls, address these risks to health and provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness. For a device to fall within this classification, and thus avoid automatic classification in class III, it would have to comply with the special controls named in this final order. The necessary special controls appear in the regulation codified by this order. This device is subject to premarket notification requirements under section 510(k) of the FD&C Act.

At the time of classification, powered insertion systems for a cochlear implant electrode array are for prescription use only. Prescription devices are exempt from the requirement for adequate directions for use for the layperson under section 502(f)(1) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 352(f)(1)) and 21 CFR 801.5, as long as the conditions of 21 CFR 801.109 are met.

III. Analysis of Environmental Impact

The Agency has determined under 21 CFR 25.34(b) that this action is of a type that does not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement is required.

IV. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This final order establishes special controls that refer to previously approved collections of information found in other FDA regulations and guidance. These collections of information are subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3521). The collections of information in 21 CFR part 860, subpart D, regarding De Novo classification have been approved under OMB control number 0910-0844; the collections of information in 21 CFR part 814, subparts A through E, regarding premarket approval, have been approved under OMB control number 0910-0231; the collections of information in part 807, subpart E, regarding premarket notification submissions, have been approved under OMB control number 0910-0120; the collections of information in 21 CFR part 820, regarding quality system regulation, have been approved under OMB control number 0910-0073; and the collections of information in 21 CFR part 801, regarding labeling, have been approved under OMB control number 0910-0485.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 874

Medical devices.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR part 874 is amended as follows: PART 874--EAR, NOSE, AND THROAT DEVICES

- 1. The authority citation for part 874 continues to read as follows:
- Authority: 21 U.S.C. 351, 360, 360c, 360e, 360j, 360l, 371.
- 2. Add § 874.4450 to subpart E to read as follows:
- § 874.4450 Powered insertion system for a cochlear implant electrode array.
- (a) *Identification*. A powered insertion system for a cochlear implant electrode array is a prescription device used to assist in placing an electrode array into the cochlea.
 - (b) Classification. Class II (special controls). The special controls for this device are:
- (1) Clinical performance testing must demonstrate that the device performs as intended under anticipated conditions of use, including evaluation of all adverse events.

- (2) Non-clinical performance testing must demonstrate that the device performs as intended under anticipated conditions of use. Testing must include:
 - (i) Verification of cochlear implant attachment force, release force, and insertion speed;
- (ii) Testing to demonstrate the device does not damage or degrade the cochlear implant (including the lead and array portions of the cochlear implant); and
 - (iii) Comparison testing with manual insertion to evaluate:
- (A) Differences in cochlear implant array insertion force associated with use of the device; and
- (B) Intracochlear placement of the cochlear implant array (intended scala placement and array insertion depth, together with minimal array tip foldover and cochlear scala translocation).
- (3) Usability testing in a simulated hospital environment with an anatomically relevant model (e.g., cadaver testing) that evaluates the following:
 - (i) Successful use to aid in placement of the electrode array into the cochlea; and
 - (ii) Harms caused by use errors observed.
- (4) Changes in cochlear implant compatibility are determined to significantly affect the safety or effectiveness of the device and must be validated through performance testing or a rationale for omission of any testing.
- (5) The patient-contacting components of the device must be demonstrated to be biocompatible.
- (6) Performance testing must demonstrate the electromagnetic compatibility, electrical safety, and thermal safety of the device.
- (7) The patient-contacting components of the device must be demonstrated to be sterile and non-pyrogenic.
- (8) Performance testing must support the shelf life of device components provided sterile by demonstrating continued sterility, package integrity, and device functionality over the labeled shelf life.

(9) Software verification, validation, and hazard analysis must be performed for any

software components of the device.

(10) Labeling must include:

(i) The recommended training for the safe use of the device;

(ii) Summary of the relevant clinical and non-clinical testing pertinent to use of the

device with compatible electrode arrays; and

(iii) A shelf life.

Dated: January 3, 2023.

Lauren K. Roth,

Associate Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 2023-00008 Filed: 1/5/2023 8:45 am; Publication Date: 1/6/2023]